

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Jon P. Yarbrough

Serial No.: 10/797,338

Group Art Unit: 3714

Filed: March 10, 2004

Examiner: Pandya, Sunit

For: Method of Playing a Bingo-Type Game With A Mechanical
Technological Aid, And An Apparatus And Program Product For
Playing The Game

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents

Commissioner of Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPEAL BRIEF WITH REPLY TO EXAMINER'S ANSWER

Dear Commissioner:

Subsequent to the filing of the Examiner's Answer on September 30, 2009, and the Notice of Appeal on December 2, 2008, Applicant now submits a brief in support of the appeal which includes a Reply to the Examiner's Answer.

REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest in this Application is Video Gaming Technologies, Inc., the assignee of all right and interest in the Application, to which an assignment has been recorded Reel 015589, Frame 0619 in the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

RELATED APPEALS OR INTERFERENCES

There are no known prior or pending appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which are related to, directly affect or are directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1, 4-22, 25-32, 34-46, 49-51, 54 and 55, as amended in a Response after final filed on October 6, 2008 and entered per an Examiner's Advisory Action dated November 12, 2008, are currently pending in this Application. Claims 2, 3, 23, 24, 33, 47, 48, 52 and 53 have been previously canceled, without prejudice. As a result of an Office Action dated June 16, 2008, Claims 1, 4-22, 25-32, 34-46, 49-51, 54 and 55 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoseloff (U.S. Patent No. 6,398,645) and further in view of Falciglia (U.S. Patent No. 5,935,002). These rejections were maintained in the Examiner's Advisory Action dated November 12, 2008. The rejections of claims 1, 4-22, 25-32, 34-46, 49-51, 54 and 55 are being appealed.

STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

An Amendment was filed on October 6, 2008 after the Final Office Action dated June 16, 2008. The Amendment was entered per the Examiner's Advisory Action dated November 12, 2008. No additional amendments have been filed subsequent to the Examiner's Advisory Action dated November 12, 2008, maintaining the final rejections asserted in the Office Action dated June 16, 2008. All amendments have been entered and are reflected in the claims in the Claims Appendix.

SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

Independent Claim 1 is directed to a method of playing a bingo-type game. The method comprises generating an end game result of the bingo-type game indicative of whether a player has won or lost the bingo-type game, and displaying an award representation of the end game result directly displayed upon and represented by a mechanical technological aid at an electronic play station. The mechanical technological aid is selected from the group of one or more mechanical spinning reels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical spinning wheels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical die rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical playing cards rotatable about at least one axle, and combinations thereof. Support for this claim can be found throughout the specification and drawings, including but not limited to, Paragraphs [0023], [0025], [0031], [0035], [0036], and [0037]; and Figs 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, and 4.

Independent Claim 22 is directed to a method of playing a bingo-type game. The method comprises creating a bingo card, generating at least one called number, determining whether the at least one called number establishes at least one of a game-ending pattern or an interim pattern on the bingo card, generating an end game result of the bingo-type game correlating to the determination of whether the at least one called number establishes at least one of the patterns, and displaying an award representation of the end game result displayed directly upon and represented by a mechanical technological aid at an electronic play station. The mechanical technological aid is selected from the group of one or more mechanical spinning reels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical spinning wheels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical die rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical playing cards rotatable about at least one axle, and combinations thereof. Support for this claim can be found throughout the specification and drawings, including but not limited to, Paragraphs [0023], [0025], [0026], [0027], [0029], [0030], [0031], [0035], [0036], and [0037]; and Figs 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 4, 5A and 5B.

Independent Claim 32 is directed to an apparatus for playing a bingo-type game. The apparatus includes a server for generating at least one called number that correlates to a result of said bingo-type game, a plurality of electronic play stations in operative communication with said server and comprising respective mechanical technological aids, and a plurality of award representations carried directly and simultaneously by each one of said mechanical technological aids, wherein an award representation of the

plurality of award representations is displayed for visual display. The server creates a plurality of bingo cards and communicates said created plurality of bingo cards to said respective ones of the plurality of electronic play stations, wherein said at least one called number is compared to said plurality of bingo cards to determine whether said at least one called number establishes at least one of a game-ending pattern or an interim pattern on any one of said plurality of bingo cards. The mechanical technological aids are selected from the group of one or more mechanical spinning reels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical spinning wheels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical die rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical playing cards rotatable about at least one axle, and combinations thereof. Support for this claim can be found throughout the specification and drawings, including but not limited to, Paragraphs [0022], [0023], [0024], [0025], [0026], [0027], [0029], [0030], [0031], [0035], [0036], and [0037]; and Figs 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 4, 5A and 5B.

Independent Claim 46 is directed to a program product for playing a bingo-type game wherein said program product code is stored on computer readable media. The computer readable media comprises a result program code for generating an end game result of the bingo-type game, and a display program code for displaying an award representation of the end game result displayed directly upon and represented by a mechanical technological aid at an electronic play station. The mechanical technological aid is selected from the group of one or more mechanical spinning reels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical spinning wheels rotatable about at least one axle,

one or more mechanical die rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical playing cards rotatable about at least one axle, and combinations thereof. Support for this claim can be found throughout the specification and drawings, including but not limited to, Paragraphs [0022], [0023], [0024], [0025], [0026], [0027], [0029], [0030], [0031], [0035], [0036], and [0037]; and Figs 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 4, 5A and 5B.

Independent Claim 49 is directed to a method of playing a bingo-type game. The method comprises creating at least one bingo card for each one of at least one player, generating at least one called number common for all of the at least one player, determining whether the at least one called number establishes at least one of a game-ending pattern on the at least one bingo card, generating at least one end game result of the bingo-type game for each one of the at least one player, displaying for visual display a win representation of a plurality of representations displayed directly upon and represented by a mechanical technological aid if a respective one of the at least one end game result is a win for a respective one of the at least one player, and displaying for visual display a loss representation of the plurality of representations if the respective one of the at least one end game result is a loss for the respective one of the at least one player. The mechanical technological aid is selected from the group of one or more mechanical spinning reels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical spinning wheels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical die rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical playing cards rotatable about at least one axle, and combinations thereof. Support for this claim can be found throughout the

specification and drawings, including but not limited to, Paragraphs [0023], [0025], [0026], [0027], [0029], [0030], [0031], [0035], [0036], and [0037]; and Figs 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 4, 5A and 5B.

Independent Claim 50 is directed to a method of providing an electronic bingo-type game. The method comprises creating a first bingo card for a first player playing at a first electronic play station, generating at least one called number, determining whether the at least one called number establishes a game-ending pattern on the first bingo card, generating an end game result of the bingo-type game, displaying a win representation and displaying directly on a mechanical aid of the play station if the player has won the game, and displaying a loss representation and displaying directly on the mechanical aid if the player has lost the game. The mechanical technological aid is selected from the group of one or more mechanical spinning reels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical spinning wheels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical die rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical playing cards rotatable about at least one axle, and combinations thereof. Support for this claim can be found throughout the specification and drawings, including but not limited to, Paragraphs [0023], [0025], [0026], [0027], [0029], [0030], [0031], [0035], [0036], and [0037]; and Figs 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 4, 5A and 5B.

GROUND OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

The Examiner has asserted one ground of rejection against Applicants claims,

namely that Claims 1, 4-22, 25-32, 34-46, 49-51, 54 and 55 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoseloff (U.S. Patent No. 6,398,645) and further in view of Falciglia (U.S. Patent No. 5,935,002). Thus, the ground of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is limited to whether Claims 1, 4-22, 25-32, 34-46, 49-51, 54 and 55 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoseloff (U.S. Patent No. 6,398,645) and further in view of Falciglia (U.S. Patent No. 5,935,002).

ARGUMENT AGAINST SOLE GROUND OF REJECTION

COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS (CLAIMS 1, 4-22, 25-32, 34-46, 49-51, 54 AND 55)

1. The disclosed invention

The invention generally relates to a method, apparatus and program product for playing a bingo-type game. In some embodiments, the invention relates to a method, apparatus, and program product that use a mechanical technological aid to display a representation of a result of the bingo-type game.

2. The outstanding 103 rejection and record relied upon

In formulating the outstanding 103 rejection, the Examiner has cited a hypothetical combination of Yoseloff and Falciglia. Yoseloff and Falciglia are both directed to electronic, computer-based bingo games.

The Examiner admits that Yoseloff is completely silent with respect to “*displaying the end game result represented by a mechanical technological aid*” and that “*instead Yoseloff teaches [of] video reels.*” See Page 2 of the Office Action dated June

16, 2008.

The Examiner relies on Falciglia to remedy the deficiencies of Yoseloff. However, as illustrated in further detail below, Falciglia only discloses the use of “virtual” representations of spinning symbols, without the need for any mechanical aids or components whatsoever.

3. Argument illustrating the deficiency of the outstanding 103 rejection and in support of withdrawing the outstanding 103 rejection against independent claims 1, 22, 32, 46, 49 and 50 in particular

Thus, it is proffered that the resulting combination of Yoseloff and Falciglia would merely provide an electronic bingo game wherein the end game result is simulated on a video screen, that is, without incorporating a mechanical aid as presently claimed. The Examiner has failed to articulate where either reference discloses or suggests using a “mechanical technological aid” as recited in the claims.

For example, each of independent claims 1, 22, 32, 46, 49 and 50 recite, among other things, a mechanical technological aid which *is selected from the group of one or more mechanical spinning reels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical spinning wheels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical die rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical playing cards rotatable about at least one axle, and combinations thereof.*

- a. Further analysis of references relied upon for the outstanding 103 rejection, including citations of authorities and statutes which

illustrate the deficiency of the outstanding 103 rejection and support withdrawing the outstanding 103 rejection against independent claims 1, 22, 32, 46, 49 and 50 in particular

As the Office is aware, the test for obviousness is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. *See In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Clearly, since neither reference even discloses using a mechanical technological aid as in the presently claimed invention, the combined teachings would not have suggested the presently claimed invention to those of ordinary skill in the art.

Thus, the cited hypothetical combination of Yoseloff and Falciglia is insufficient for supporting this rejection against the claimed invention at least because each and every limitation of the independent claims 1, 22, 32, 46, 49 and 50 is not found in Yoseloff and Falciglia.

As the Office is aware, “[s]ection 103 forbids issuance of a patent when ‘the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains.’” *KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, 127 S.Ct. 1727, 1734, 82 USPQ2d 1385, 1391 (2007) (emphasis added). Furthermore, in *KSR*, the Court reiterated that “rejections on obviousness grounds cannot be sustained by mere conclusory statements; instead, there must be some articulated reasoning with some rational underpinning to support the legal

conclusion of obviousness.” 127 S.Ct. at 1740-41, 82 USPQ2d at 1396 (emphasis added).

See also MPEP § 2143 (Sept. 2007).

In considering the claimed invention as a whole, it is submitted that the combined teachings of Yoseloff and Falciglia would also not have suggested the claimed invention to one skilled in the art.

Indeed, Yoseloff specifically teaches away from the claimed inventions in that it expressly states, as the Examiner has already previously acknowledged, that the “use of mechanical devices is awkward, inconvenient and cumbersome.” *See Yoseloff column 2, lines 1-2*. Thus, it is speculated that one skilled in the art would not look to Yoseloff in forming a combination that included a mechanical technological aid as presently claimed.

Furthermore, element 144 of Yoseloff, as best understood by the Applicant, is shown (e.g. in Fig. 1) as being a video display, and there is absolutely no description whatsoever that element 144 can include one or more spinning members rotatable about an axle.

Additionally, it is questionable whether the Examiner’s assertion that Yoseloff “teaches ... video reels” with respect to the award representation of the end game result is accurate. Specifically, there is no description in Yoseloff that the award representation of the end game result, such as element 144 in Fig. 1, is displayed as a “video reel.” The only apparent disclosure of an “end game result” is shown in Fig. 1 at element 144, which appears to be a simple static video display. While the device disclosed by Yoseloff can supposedly change the display information on the video display, there is no mechanical

(e.g., rotational) movement of any members about an axle.

Thus, it is proffered that one of ordinary skill in the art would not look to Yoseloff for guidance on a bingo-type game, or method of using the same, as presently claimed. It is respectfully submitted that Yoseloff also fails to provide the alleged teachings relied upon by the Examiner to support the asserted rejection.

With regard to Falciglia, the passage at column 11, lines 23-30, of Falciglia specifically relied on by the Examiner is reproduced below:

As shown in FIG. 8, the game client 170 includes an event processor 196 connected to a user input device 198, a game display 200, and a communications interface 202. The event processor 196 operates the application program for allowing the user to play the bingo-like game. The event processor 196 performs wheel spins; i.e. the event processor 196 operates the selectable symbol generator to generate a new set of five random symbols and to change a current display of the selectable display regions to display the generated random symbols to simulate the spinning of multiple mechanical wheels generating random symbols as in slot machines in the prior art. (Emphasis added).

Thus, as taught in the above passage, Falciglia merely endeavors to simulate the “mechanical” spinning of game symbols, as it is clearly referring to a video representation of spinning symbols. In other words, there is no disclosure or suggestion of a “physical” axle upon which “physical” technological aids (whatever form they may take) actually rotate thereabout. Falciglia only discloses the use of “virtual” representations of spinning symbols, without the need for any mechanical aids or components whatsoever.

Furthermore, Falciglia does not disclose or suggest that the video simulation of the game symbols are used to indicate an end game result, as presently claimed, but rather the video simulation appears to be part of the primary game itself. Thus, as with

Yoseloff, it is proffered that Falciglia also teaches away from the presently claimed invention in that it specifically discloses that video representations, as opposed to mechanical technological aids, are to be used to depict game symbols or other game-related indicia. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would not look to Falciglia either for guidance on a bingo-type game, or method of using the same, as presently claimed.

Clearly, Falciglia fails to provide the alleged teachings as relied upon by the Examiner as support to the asserted rejection.

For at least the above reasons, the combination of Yoseloff and Falciglia fails to render obvious the presently claimed invention. Furthermore, even if Falciglia and Yoseloff were combined, the resulting combination would not render obvious the presently claimed invention. Applicant contends that the 35 U.S.C. §103(a) rejection of claims 1, 4-22, 25-32, 34-46, 49-51, 54 and 55 is improper and a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been established.

4. Argument illustrating the deficiency of the outstanding 103 rejection and in support of withdrawing the outstanding 103 rejection against dependent claims 4-21, 25-31, 34-46, 51, 54 and 55 in particular

Because claim 1 is allowable over Yoseloff and/or Falciglia, either alone or in combination therewith, for at least the reasons stated above, claims 4-21, which depend from and further define claim 1, are likewise allowable. Because claim 22 is allowable over Yoseloff and/or Falciglia, either alone or in combination therewith, for at least the

reasons stated above, claims 25-31, which depend from and further define claim 22, are likewise allowable. Because claim 32 is allowable over Yoseloff and/or Falciglia, either alone or in combination therewith, for at least the reasons stated above, claims 34-46, which depend from and further define claim 32, are likewise allowable. Because claim 50 is allowable over Yoseloff and/or Falciglia, either alone or in combination therewith, for at least the reasons stated above, claims 51, 54 and 55, which depend from and further define claim 46, are likewise allowable.

5. Applicant's Reply to Examiner's Answer

This section is in response to Examiner's Answer dated September 30, 2009.

The Examiner argues in the Answer that the Applicant has attacked the references Falciglia and Yoseloff individually, rather than the combined teachings that formulate the outstanding rejection. Applicant contends this argument lacks merit. Applicant has addressed each reference individually only inasmuch as it is necessary to illustrate what the combined teachings would suggest to one skilled in the art, in accordance with the test for obviousness. *In re Keller, supra*.

In this regard Applicant reiterates that since neither Falciglia nor Yoseloff discloses or suggests displaying an award representation of the end game result through a mechanical technological aid as in the presently claimed invention, it would therefore be appropriate and logical to conclude that the combined teachings of these references could not render obvious the presently claimed invention to those of ordinary skill in the art.

The Examiner also argues in the Answer that it would have been “obvious for one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Yoseloff to include mechanical technological aid at an electronic play station as taught by Falciglia, to reduce user manipulations and tampering with the device and thus making the game fair for all of the participants and also adding moving element features to the game machine which adds an extra entertainment element for the players to enjoy.”

Applicant respectfully submits that absent actual technical teachings found in the references themselves, mere general language in support of the benefits of a combination is insufficient to render obvious the presently claimed invention. Based on the combined teachings of Falciglia and Yoseloff, Applicant contends that one skilled in the art would not be sufficiently informed, taught or motivated to make the technical leap to the presently claimed invention. As the Office is aware, “[t]o imbue one of ordinary skill in the art with the knowledge of the invention in suit, when no prior art reference or references of record convey or suggest that knowledge, is to fall victim to the insidious effect of a hindsight syndrome wherein that which only the inventor taught is used against its teacher.” W.L. Gore & Assocs. & Garlock, Inc., 721 F.2d 1540, 1553, 220 USPQ 303, 213-13 (Fed. Cir. 1983), *cert. denied*, 469 U.S. 851 (1984).

The Examiner also argues in the Answer that Falciglia teaches mechanical reels rather than simulations, which counters Applicant’s arguments. The Examiner points out Figure 8, which lists “wheel spins” as part of the operations of the “event processor 196” as support for this counterargument that Falciglia teaches mechanical reels.

Applicant reiterates that event processor 196 operates the application program (*i.e.*, software) which allows the user to play the bingo-like game, including “wheel spins.” However, Falciglia clearly distinguishes the “wheel spins” being performed by event processor 196 as being intended to simulate the multiple mechanical wheels of prior art slot machines, according to the passage at column 11, lines 23-30 which is reproduced below:

As shown in FIG. 8, the game client 170 includes an event processor 196 connected to a user input device 198, a game display 200, and a communications interface 202. The event processor 196 operates the application program for allowing the user to play the bingo-like game. **The event processor 196 performs wheel spins; i.e. the event processor 196 operates the selectable symbol generator to generate a new set of five random symbols and to change a current display of the selectable display regions to display the generated random symbols to simulate the spinning of multiple mechanical wheels generating random symbols as in slot machines in the prior art.** (Emphasis added).

For at least the above reasons, the Examiner’s Answer fails to establish the propriety of the combination of Yoseloff and Falciglia, and fails to show that the combined teachings of these references would render obvious the presently claimed invention, even if the combination were proper. Applicant therefore reiterates that the 35 U.S.C. §103(a) rejection of claims 1, 4-22, 25-32, 34-46, 49-51, 54 and 55 is improper, insufficient and should be withdrawn.

U.S.S.N 10/797,338

CLOSING

For the reasons set forth above, the rejection of claims 1, 4-22, 25-32, 34-46, 49-51, 54 and 55 under 35 USC § 103 are improper and must be reversed.

Respectfully submitted,

HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS PLLC

/Richard H. Newman/
Richard H. Newman, Registration No. 41,222
450 West Fourth Street
Royal Oak, MI 48067

Date: November 30, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 060583.00004

CLAIMS APPENDIX

1. (Previously Presented): A method of playing a bingo-type game, comprising:
generating an end game result of the bingo-type game indicative of whether a player has won or lost the bingo-type game; and
displaying an award representation of the end game result directly displayed upon and represented by a mechanical technological aid at an electronic play station;
wherein the mechanical technological aid is selected from the group of one or more mechanical spinning reels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical spinning wheels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical die rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical playing cards rotatable about at least one axle, and combinations thereof.

2. (Canceled)

3. (Canceled)

4. (Previously Presented): A method as set forth in claim 1 further comprising the step of creating a first bingo card for a first player and a second bingo card for a second player that is different than the first bingo card and prior to the step of generating the end game result of the bingo-type game.

5. (Previously Presented): A method as set forth in claim 4 further comprising the step of generating at least one called number shared in common by the first and second players prior to the step of generating the end game result of the bingo-type game.

6. (Previously Presented): A method as set forth in claim 5 further comprising the step of reporting the at least one called number to the electronic play station for comparison to the first and second bingo cards after the at least one called number has been generated.

7. (Original): A method as set forth in claim 5 wherein the step of generating at least one called number comprises generating a plurality of called numbers.

8. (Original): A method as set forth in claim 7 wherein the called numbers are generated at the same time.

9. (Original): A method as set forth in claim 7 wherein the called numbers are generated in succession.

10. (Previously Presented): A method as set forth in claim 7 wherein the step of generating the end game result of the bingo-type game further comprises the step of determining whether the called numbers establish a game-ending pattern on any one of

the first and second bingo cards.

11. (Previously Presented): A method as set forth in claim 10 wherein the step of displaying the award representation of the end game result is in response to determining whether the game-ending pattern has been established on any one of the bingo cards.

12. (Previously Presented): A method as set forth in claim 11 further comprising the step of awarding a game-ending prize in response to determining whether the game-ending pattern has been established on any one of the bingo cards.

13. (Original): A method as set forth in claim 12 further comprising the step of requiring a daubing of the game-ending pattern prior to awarding the game-ending prize.

14. (Original): A method as set forth in claim 12 further comprising the step of providing an auto-daubing option prior to awarding the game-ending prize.

15. (Previously Presented): A method as set forth in claim 11 further comprising the step of ending the bingo-type game in response to determining whether the game-ending pattern has been established on any one of the bingo cards.

16. (Previously Presented): A method as set forth in claim 7 wherein the step of generating the result of the bingo-type game further comprises the step of determining whether the called numbers establish an interim pattern on any one of the bingo cards.

17. (Previously Presented): A method as set forth in claim 16 comprising the further step of displaying an award representation of an interim game result directly displayed upon and represented by the mechanical technological aid in response to determining whether the interim pattern has been established on any one of the bingo cards.

18. (Previously Presented): A method as set forth in claim 17 further comprising the step of awarding an interim prize in response to determining whether the interim pattern has been established on any one of the bingo cards.

19. (Original): A method as set forth in claim 18 further comprising the step of requiring a daubing of the interim pattern prior to awarding the interim prize.

20. (Original): A method as set forth in claim 18 further comprising the step of providing an auto-daubing option prior to awarding the interim prize.

21. (Previously Presented): A method as set forth in claim 1 further comprising the step of determining whether a plurality of players are playing the bingo-type game prior to generating the end game result of the bingo-type game.

22. (Previously Presented): A method of playing a bingo-type game, comprising:

- creating a bingo card;
- generating at least one called number;
- determining whether the at least one called number establishes at least one of a game-ending pattern or an interim pattern on the bingo card;
- generating an end game result of the bingo-type game correlating to the determination of whether the at least one called number establishes at least one of the patterns; and
- displaying an award representation of the end game result displayed directly upon and represented by a mechanical technological aid at an electronic play station;
- wherein the mechanical technological aid is selected from the group of one or more mechanical spinning reels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical spinning wheels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical die rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical playing cards rotatable about at least one axle, and combinations thereof.

23. (Canceled)

24. (Canceled)

25. (Previously Presented): A method as set forth in claim 22 wherein the step of generating the end game result of the bingo-type game further comprises the step of reporting the at least one called number to the electronic play station for comparison to the bingo card after the at least one called number has been generated.

26. (Original): A method as set forth in claim 22 wherein the step of generating at least one called number comprises generating a plurality of called numbers.

27. (Original): A method as set forth in claim 26 wherein the called numbers are generated at the same time.

28. (Original): A method as set forth in claim 26 wherein the called numbers are generated in succession.

29. (Original): A method as set forth in claim 22 further comprising the step of awarding a prize in response to determining whether the game-ending pattern or the interim pattern has been established on the bingo card.

30. (Original): A method as set forth in claim 29 further comprising the step of requiring a daubing of the game-ending pattern or the interim pattern prior to awarding the prize.

31. (Original): A method as set forth in claim 29 further comprising the step of providing an auto-daubing option prior to awarding the prize.

32. (Previously Presented): An apparatus for playing a bingo-type game, comprising:

a server for generating at least one called number that correlates to a result of said bingo-type game;

a plurality of electronic play stations in operative communication with said server and comprising respective mechanical technological aids; and

a plurality of award representations carried directly and simultaneously by each one of said mechanical technological aids, wherein an award representation of the plurality of award representations is displayed for visual display;

wherein said server creates a plurality of bingo cards and communicates said created plurality of bingo cards to said respective ones of the plurality of electronic play stations, wherein said at least one called number is compared to said plurality of bingo cards

to

determine whether said at least one called number establishes at least one of a game-ending pattern or an interim pattern on any one of said plurality of bingo cards;

wherein said mechanical technological aids are selected from the group of one or more mechanical spinning reels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical spinning wheels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical die rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical playing cards rotatable about at least one axle, and combinations thereof.

33. (Canceled)

34. (Previously Presented): An apparatus as set forth in claim 32 wherein each one of said plurality of electronic play stations further comprise a controller for creating a respective one of the plurality of bingo cards, wherein said at least one called number is compared to said plurality of bingo cards to determine whether said at least one called number establishes at least one of a game-ending pattern or an interim pattern on at least one of said plurality of bingo cards.

35. (Previously Presented): An apparatus as set forth in claim 34 wherein said server compares said at least one called number to said plurality of bingo cards to determine whether at least one of said game-ending pattern or said interim pattern has been established on at least one of said plurality of bingo cards.

36. (Previously Presented): An apparatus as set forth in claim 34 wherein said controller of each one of said plurality of electronic play stations compares said at least one called number to each one of said plurality of bingo cards to determine whether at least one of said game-ending pattern or said interim pattern has been established on at least one of said plurality of bingo cards.

37. (Previously Presented): An apparatus as set forth in claim 36 wherein said controller of each one of said plurality of electronic play stations is in operative communication with said mechanical technological aid of each one of said plurality of electronic play stations for displaying said result of said bingo-type game.

38. (Previously Presented): An apparatus as set forth in claim 32 wherein said server and said plurality of electronic play stations communicate via an Ethernet network.

39. (Original): An apparatus as set forth in claim 32 wherein said server generates a plurality of called numbers.

40. (Previously Presented): An apparatus as set forth in claim 39 wherein said plurality of called numbers are generated by said server at the same time.

41. (Previously Presented): An apparatus as set forth in claim 39 wherein said plurality of called numbers are generated by said server in succession.

42. (Previously Presented): An apparatus as set forth in claim 32 wherein said server is local relative to said plurality of electronic play stations.

43. (Previously Presented): An apparatus as set forth in claim 32 wherein said server is remote relative to said plurality of electronic play stations.

44. (Previously Presented): An apparatus as set forth in claim 34 wherein each one of said plurality of electronic play stations further comprises a video display section and a mechanical display section with a respective one of said plurality of bingo cards and said at least one called number displayed on said video display section and with said mechanical technological aid disposed within said mechanical display section.

45. (Original): A method of playing said bingo-type game with the apparatus of claim 32.

46. (Previously Presented): A program product for playing a bingo-type game wherein said program product code is stored on computer readable media, comprising:
a result program code for generating an end game result of the bingo-type game;

U.S.S.N 10/797,338

and

a display program code for displaying an award representation of the end game result displayed directly upon and represented by a mechanical technological aid at an electronic play station;

wherein the mechanical technological aid is selected from the group of one or more mechanical spinning reels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical spinning wheels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical die rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical playing cards rotatable about at least one axle, and combinations thereof.

47. (Canceled)

48. (Canceled)

49. (Previously Presented): A method of playing a bingo-type game, comprising:

creating at least one bingo card for each one of at least one player;

generating at least one called number common for all of the at least one player;

determining whether the at least one called number establishes at least one of a game-ending pattern on the at least one bingo card;

generating at least one end game result of the bingo-type game for each one of the

at least one player;

displaying for visual display a win representation of a plurality of representations displayed directly upon and represented by a mechanical technological aid if a respective one of the at least one end game result is a win for a respective one of the at least one player; and

displaying for visual display a loss representation of the plurality of representations if the respective one of the at least one end game result is a loss for the respective one of the at least one player;

wherein the mechanical technological aid is selected from the group of one or more mechanical spinning reels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical spinning wheels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical die rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical playing cards rotatable about at least one axle, and combinations thereof.

50. (Previously Presented): A method of providing an electronic bingo-type game, comprising:

creating a first bingo card for a first player playing at a first electronic play station;

generating at least one called number;

determining whether the at least one called number establishes a game-ending pattern on the first bingo card;

generating an end game result of the bingo-type game;

displaying a win representation and displaying directly on a mechanical aid of the play station if the player has won the game; and

displaying a loss representation and displaying directly on the mechanical aid if the player has lost the game;

wherein the mechanical technological aid is selected from the group of one or more mechanical spinning reels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical spinning wheels rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical die rotatable about at least one axle, one or more mechanical playing cards rotatable about at least one axle, and combinations thereof.

51. (Previously Presented): The method of providing the electronic bingo-type game set forth in claim 50 comprising the steps of:

creating a second bingo card for a second player playing at a second electronic play station; and

sharing the same at least one called number between the first and second play stations.

52. (Canceled)

53. (Canceled)

54. (Previously Presented): The method of providing the electronic bingo-type game set forth in claim 50 comprising the further step of displaying the first bingo card on a video display at the first electronic play station.

55. (Previously Presented): The method of providing the electronic bingo-type game set forth in claim 50 wherein the mechanical aid carries a plurality of award representations and spins prior to displaying the selected one of the award representations.

U.S.S.N 10/797,338

EVIDENCE APPENDIX

NONE

U.S.S.N 10/797,338

RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

NONE